



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,322	10/28/1999	MARY LAFUZE COMER	RCA-89541	4518

7590

10/23/2002

JOSEPH S TRIPOLI
PATENT OPERATIONS
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC
P O BOX 5312
PRINCETON, NJ 085435312

EXAMINER

BUGG, GEORGE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2613

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/428,322

Applicant(s)

COMER ET AL. 

Examiner

George A Bugg

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 10-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6,7,9 and 22-24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 and 3. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5, 8, 10, and 11-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No 5,614,957 to Boyce et al.

3. As for claims 1, 11, and 18, Figure 4, of Boyce, shows a primary decoder (401).

The signals exiting Elements 401, 402, and 403, of Figure 4, will be referred to as resolutions 1, 2, and 3 respectively. In column 18, lines 20-25, the decoder 401 is referred to as a full resolution decoder, and will output an image of resolution 1.

Column 18, Lines 48-53, discloses that the reduced resolution decoder (402) processes only the upper left block of DCT coefficients. This upper left block of DCT coefficients is equivalent to a selected sub-set of frequency domain coefficients, as claimed. Once decoding is complete, a reduced resolution image is displayed, representative of resolution 2. Column 19, Lines 6-12 disclose a motion compensation circuit used in conjunction with the PIP decoder of Figure 4. Furthermore, Figure 4 shows an additional reduced resolution decoder (403). The image outputted by 403, will be representative of resolution 3, which is less than that of resolution 1. While Boyce does not specifically teach resolution 3 being greater than resolution 2, Boyce does teach that the PIP arrangement of Figure 4 is not limited to a specific degree of resolution, only

Art Unit: 2613

that the secondary decoders 402 and 403, be reduced resolution decoders, as compared to 401 (Column 19, Lines 23-30). Therefore, the actual degree of resolution, with respect to resolution 3, can be less than resolution 1, while being greater than resolution 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize decoders of varying resolution to achieve greater PIP versatility.

1. Regarding claims 2 and 10, Boyce discloses that secondary pictures (Column 19, Lines 13-20) can be $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ resolution of the primary picture.
2. As for claims 3, 4, 8, and 12 Boyce teaches (Abstract) HDTV/SDTV video decoder, which are synonymous with progressive and inter-laced images.
3. As for claim 5, see Figures 1 and 2 of Boyce.
4. As for claim 13 and 21, up-sampling is shown in the motion compensation circuit of Figures 1 and 2.
5. With regard to claims 14 and 15, Boyce teaches Column 18, Lines 48-53, that the reduced resolution decoder (402) processes only the upper left block of DCT coefficients. This upper left block of DCT coefficients is equivalent to a selected sub-set of spatially distributed pixels, as claimed, based on PIP picture size.
6. The embodiments of claims 16 and 17 are well known in the art. (Official Notice)
7. As for claims 19 and 20, P frames would be processed exclusively of I and B frames, because they contain the motion information, however all frames are processed.

Art Unit: 2613

8. As for claims 22-24, Boyce shows down-sampling up-sampled data in the motion compensation circuit of Figures 1 and 2. Inherently, the residual data of claim 24, is present as a result of down-sampling up-sampled data.

Claim Objections

9. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 22 (2-claims numbered 22) and 23 have been renumbered 22, 23, and 24 respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 6, 7, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George A Bugg whose telephone number is (703) 305-2329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

George A Bugg
Examiner
Art Unit 2613

GAB
October 17, 2002


CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600